CS4102 Algorithms Spring 2020

Warm up

Given any 5 points on the unit square, show there's always a pair distance $\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ apart



CS4102 Algorithms Spring 2020

If points p_1, p_2 in same quadrant, then $\delta(p_1, p_2) \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$

Given 5 points, two must share the same quadrant

Pigeonhole Principle!



Today's Keywords

- Karatsuba (one last time!)
- Solving recurrences
- Cookbook Method
- Master Theorem
- Substitution Method

CLRS Readings

• Chapter 4

Homeworks

Friday, January 31 at 11pm

- HW1 due Thursday, January 30 at 11pm
 - Written (use Latex!) Submit BOTH pdf and zip!
 - Asymptotic notation
 - Recurrences
 - Divide and Conquer
- HW2 out tomorrow, due Thursday, February 6 at 11pm
 - Written (use Latex!)
 - Divide and Conquer
 - Master Theorem

Recurrence Solving Techniques





"Cookbook"



Substitution

Induction (review)

Goal: $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, P(k)$ holds

Base case(s): P(1) holds

Technically, called *strong induction*

Hypothesis: $\forall x \leq x_0, P(x)$ holds

Inductive step: show $P(1), \dots, P(x_0) \Rightarrow P(x_0 + 1)$

Guess and Check Intuition

- Show: $T(n) \in O(g(n))$
- Consider: $g_*(n) = c \cdot g(n)$ for some constant c, i.e. pick $g_*(n) \in O(g(n))$
- **Goal:** show $\exists n_0$ such that $\forall n > n_0$, $T(n) \le g_*(n)$ - (definition of big-O)
- Technique: Induction
 - Base cases:
 - show $T(1) \le g_*(1), T(2) \le g_*(2), \dots$ for a small number of cases (may need additional base cases)
 - Hypothesis:
 - $\forall n \leq x_0, T(n) \leq g_*(n)$
 - Inductive step:
 - Show $T(x_0 + 1) \le g_*(x_0 + 1)$

Need to ensure that in inductive step, can either appeal to a <u>base</u> <u>case</u> or to the <u>inductive hypothesis</u>



1. Recursively compute: ac, bd, (a + b)(c + d)2. (ad + bc) = (a + b)(c + d) - ac - bd3. Return $10^{n}(ac) + 10^{\frac{n}{2}}(ad + bc) + bd$

Pseudo-code

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 8n$$

- 1. $x \leftarrow \text{Karatsuba}(a, c)$
- 2. $y \leftarrow \text{Karatsuba}(b, d)$
- 3. $z \leftarrow \text{Karatsuba}(a + b, c + d) x y$
- 4. Return $10^n x + 10^{n/2} z + y$

Karatsuba

3. Use asymptotic notation to simplify

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 8n$$
$$T(n) = 8n \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} (3/2)^i$$
$$T(n) = 8n \frac{(3/2)^{\log_2 n+1} - 1}{3/2 - 1}$$

Math, math, and more math...(on board, see lecture supplement)

$$T(n) = 24(n^{\log_2 3}) - 16n = \Theta(n^{\log_2 3}) \approx \Theta(n^{1.585})$$

Karatsuba Guess and Check

Goal:
$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 8n$$

 $T(n) \le 24n^{\log_2 3} - 16n = O(n^{\log_2 3})$

$$g_{x}(n) = 24n^{10} g_{x}^{3} - 16n$$

Base cases: by inspection, holds for small *n* (at home)

Hypothesis:
$$\forall n \leq x_0, T(n) \leq 24n^{\log_2 3} - 16n$$

Inductive step: $T(x_0 + 1) \le 24(x_0 + 1)^{\log_2 3} - 16(x_0 + 1)$

Karatsuba Guess and Check

$$T(n) = 3T(\frac{n}{2}) + 8n$$
Hyp: $\forall n \leq x$. $T(n) \leq 2^{4} n^{\log_{2} 3} - 16 n$
Inductive step: show $T(x_{0}+1) \leq 2^{4} (x_{0}+1)^{\log_{2} 3} - 16(x_{0}+1)$

$$T(x_{0}+1) = 3T(\frac{|x_{0}+1|}{2}) + 8(x_{0}+1)$$

$$\leq 3(2^{4} (\frac{|x_{0}+1|}{2})^{\log_{2} 3} - 16(\frac{|x_{0}+1|}{2})) + 8(x_{0}+1)$$

$$= 3(\frac{2^{4} (x_{0}+1)^{\log_{2} 3}}{3} - 8(x_{0}+1)) + 8(x_{0}+1)$$

$$= 2^{4} ((x_{0}+1)^{\log_{2} 3} - 2^{4} (x_{0}+1) + 8(x_{0}+1)$$

$$= 2^{4} (|x_{0}+1|)^{\log_{2} 3} - 16(x_{0}+1)$$
Therefore $T(n) \in O(n^{\log_{2} 3})$

What if we leave out the -16n?

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 8n$$

Goal:
$$T(n) \le 24n^{\log_2 3} - 16n = O(n^{\log_2 3})$$

Base cases: by inspection, holds for small n (at home)

Hypothesis: $\forall n \le x_0, T(n) \le 24n^{\log_2 3} - 16n$

Inductive step:
$$T(x_0 + 1) \le 24(x_0 + 1)^{\log_2 3} - 16(x_0 + 1)$$

What we wanted: $T(x_0 + 1) \le 24(x_0 + 1)^{\log_2 3}$ Induction failed! What we got: $T(x_0 + 1) \le 24(x_0 + 1)^{\log_2 3} + 8(x_0 + 1)$

"Bad Mergesort" Guess and Check

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 209n$$

Goal:
$$T(n) \le 209n \log_2 n = O(n \log_2 n)$$

Base cases: T(1) = 0 $T(2) = 518 \le 209 \cdot 2 \log_2 2$... up to some small k

Hypothesis: $\forall n \le x_0, T(n) \le 209n \log_2 n$

Inductive step: $T(x_0 + 1) \le 209(x_0 + 1)\log_2(x_0 + 1)$

Prove this on your own

Recurrence Solving Techniques









Substitution

Observation

- **Divide:** D(n) time
- Conquer: recurse on small problems, size S
- Combine: C(n) time
- Recurrence:

$$T(n) = D(n) + \sum T(s) + C(n)$$

• Many D&C recurrences are of the form:

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n),$$
 where $f(n) = D(n) + C(n)$

Remember...

• Better Attendance: $T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 2$

• MergeSort:
$$T(n) = 2 T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

• D&C Multiplication:
$$T(n) = 4T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 5n$$

• Karatsuba:
$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 8n$$



3 Cases

 $L = \log_b n$



Master Theorem

$$T(n) = \frac{a}{b}T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

Case 1: if $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a} - \varepsilon)$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

Case 2: if
$$f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$
, then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$

Case 3: if
$$f(n) \in \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$$
 for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$,
and if $af\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \leq cf(n)$ for some constant $c < 1$
and all sufficiently large n ,
then $T(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$

Proof of Case 1

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\log_b n} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right),$$

$$f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}) \Rightarrow f(n) \le c \cdot n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}$$

Insert math here...

Proof of Case 1



Proof of Case 1

$$T(n) \leq \underline{c_{s}c} n^{\log_{9}n - \epsilon} (n^{\epsilon} - 1) + \underline{c_{3}n^{\log_{9}n}}$$
$$= \underline{c_{4}} n^{\log_{9}n} - \underline{c_{4}} n^{\log_{9}n - \epsilon} + \underline{c_{3}} n^{\log_{9}n}$$
$$= \underline{c_{5}} n^{\log_{9}n} - \underline{c_{4}} n^{\log_{9}n - \epsilon}$$
$$\leq \underline{c_{5}} n^{\log_{9}n} \in O(n^{\log_{9}n})$$

Conclusion: $T(n) = O(n^{\log_b a})$